

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,468	11/13/2003	Robert J. South	4003-10702 9401	
30652	7590 04/17/2006	EXAMINER		
CONLEY RO	OSE, P.C. E PARKWAY, SUITE :	CHAN, SING P		
PLANO, TX	•	330	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1734	

DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•	,				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/712,46		SOUTH, ROBERT	J. '			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Sing P. Cl		1734				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad `	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•		•					
1) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·		is action is n	on-final.					
3)□ 3								
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖾 (Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	•					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		•			
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.	•				
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				ı			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-4- b b						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·	i				
Attachment			»П		. •			
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	8)		Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,468 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of making a quilt, classified in class 156, subclass 152.
 - II. Claims 18-26, drawn to a method of making a fusible quilt batt, classified in class 427, subclass 207.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the processes as claimed are not capable of use together and have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, and effect.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1734

4. A telephone call was made to Albert Metrailer on April 13, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. Metrailer has requested a written requirement be send.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sing P. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-1225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-11:00AM and 12:00PM-4:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,468

an Sing Po

Art Unit: 1734

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SPC

CHRIS FIORILLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU 1734